Document 7

CHANSLER MALLARD, #1853502,	§ § 8	
Plaintiff,	8 § 8	
v.	8 § &	Case No. 6:24-cv-239-JDK-KNM
BRYAN COLLIER, et al.,	§ §	Case No. 6.24-cv-259-3DK-KNW
Defendants.	§ §	
	§ §	

## ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Chansler Mallard, a Texas Department of Criminal Justice inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Docket No. 1. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On January 23, 2025, Judge Mitchell issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed with prejudice as frivolous and for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted. Docket No. 5. A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff. Docket No. 6. However, no objections have been received.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law.

Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews the legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (holding that, if no

objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly

erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 5) as the findings of this Court. The

Court therefore **ORDERS** that this case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice as frivolous

and for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 7th day of March, 2025.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE